

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/828,160	MURASE, TUTOMU	

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Kwang B. Yao. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Carl J. Pellegrini. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 September 2005 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
*(Examiner/SPE Signature)*

**(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)**

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It is noted that claim 1 is incomplete because it has no ending punctuation. It is agreed that this problem will be fixed by Examiner's Amendment. It is also noted that IDS filed on 6/8/05 is the same as the one filed on 6/8/04, which has not been considered yet. The IDS will be considered and sent along with the supplemental action.